



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,584	07/24/2001	Peter Charles Eastty	450110-3472.3	4360
20999 75	90 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2644	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/911,584	EASTTY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian T. Pendleton	2644			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start period by the Office later than three months after the manded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day find will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicati riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/586,094</u> . ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 08) 5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/911,584

Art Unit: 2644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franks et al, US Patent 4,879,751 in view of Matsushige, US Patent 5,487,067. Franks et al disclose a signal processing system in which a set of automation commands are generated whereby the automation commands are generated based on timecodes (see column 2 lines 3-18). However, Franks does not disclose invoking a first set of automated signal processing functions using the automation commands and invoking a second set of automated signal processing functions using a different timecode. Matsushige discloses an audio mixer having automation commands and communication with peripheral devices. The synchronization of the data channels is accomplished by using a plurality of timecodes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a different timecode for invoking a second set of automated signal processing functions, as taught by Matsushige, in the apparatus of Franks for the purpose of automating a plurality of audio channels that have different timings. Claims 1 and 3 are met. As to claim 2, it was obvious to assign a source signal with an associated timecode signal since different source signals have the possibility of different sampling rates. Per claims 4-6 and 11, it was well know at the time of invention to store information such as commands and codes on storage mediums.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,584

Art Unit: 2644

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

Page 3

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Claim 12 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton

Examiner

Art Unit 2644

btp

222